



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,703	09/23/2005	Stephen Kerr	127272.00311	7745
7590 04/03/2009				
Pepper Hamilton One Mellon Center 500 Grant Street 50th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219				
EXAMINER				
NGUYEN, TUAN VAN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3731				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/03/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p align="center">Interview Summary</p>	Application No. 10/530,703	Applicant(s) KERR, STEPHEN	
	Examiner TUAN V. NGUYEN	Art Unit 3731	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) TUAN V. NGUYEN. (3) _____.

(2) Raymond Miller (Reg. No. 42,891). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Domingo et al (US 2002/0143358).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues that the combination of Kan and Domingo et al is improper because nowhere in the disclosure of Domingo et al disclose the viewing device. Further, applicant argues that the aperture on the jaw of Domingo's vice is for receiving a guidewire not a viewing device. Examiner acknowledges that the combination of Kan and Domingo is improper, therefore, previous rejection is hereby withdrawn.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/T. V. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 3731	/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731
---------------------------------------	---